

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,768	06/15/2001	Troy M. Herndon	8032989/JAS	9302
75	90 04/23/2002			
THOMASON, MOSER & PATTERSON, LLP Suite B 4149 El Camino Way Palo Alto, CA 94306-4036			EXAMINER	
			LAM, THANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/882,768

Herndon et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Thanh Lam 28

Art Unit **2834**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, 	tion.			
communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	mailing date of this communication, even it thirty mod, may readed any			
Status				
1) L Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7)	is/are objected to.			
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-5</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:				
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	e been received in Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure. *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a li				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachment(s)				
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09882768 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a method creating bearing gap, classified in class 340, subclass 596.
 - II. Claim 5, drawn to a motor structure, classified in class 310, subclass 90.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the structure of group II does not require the method steps of group I to practice the claimed invention of group II.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Sheridan on 4/19/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

_

Application/Control Number: 09882768 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626.

Thanh Lam

Wanh lam

April 19,2002